







### What I will cover

- 1. Why does it matter
- 2. The Test Case
  - i. Is it covered?
  - ii. The importance of clear wordings
  - iii. Denial of access
- 3. FS20/8 what you need to do
- 4. Your duties as a broker + ICOBS
- 5. My final thoughts



# Just bear in mind

- There is a lot of detail and I will attempt to highlight some of the KEY pieces of information
- All this information is in the public domain
- Please refer to the FCA BI pages for full information
- Bear in mind this is not formal advice and do take up whatever professional help you need

B

· Happy to do this talk in-house





#	News	People	Regulation	Technology	Products	Market data	Broker Expo	Video	$\equiv$ All sections	
	What are the key challenges faced by brokers due to the Covid-19 pandemic? Respondents selected and ranked four options of the below options in order of significance. 1 = biggest challenge, 2 = 2nd biggest challenge, 3 = 3rd biggest challenge, etc									
	1 Volume of queries due to Covid-19									
	2 Difficult trading conditions 3 Clients trying to reduce premiums									
	Clients trying to reduce premiums 4 Difficult to speak to insurers									
						ng to get claims pa				
					1	ies working remot				
					7 Having	fewer staff due to	furloughing			
					8 Difficult	to access governi	nent furlough sche	me cash		
					9 Staff of	i sick with Covid-1	9			





















Section 2 - Business interruption – optional cover						
Additional covers and limits	Automatically included?					
Bomb hoax £500,000 in total in any one period of insurance. Unspecified suppliers £250,000 or 10% of the sum insured, whichever is the less, for any one loss Unspecified customers £250,000 or 10% of the sum insured, whichever is the less, for any one loss Storage at other locations £100,000 any one loss Essential personnel £25,000 in total in any one period of insurance Exhibitions £100,000 in total in any one period of insurance Failure of utilities supply £250,000 any one loss Failure of utilities supply – terminal ends - £250,000 any one loss						
Fines, penalties and damages £25,000 in total in any one period of insurance Loss of attraction £250,000 whichever is the lesser for any one loss Motor vehicles £500,000 in total in any one period of insurance Notifiable disease, vermin, defective sanitary arrangements, murder and suicide £500,000 in total in any one period of insurance	- - - - -					



## Declinature

We have reviewed the information provided **but have not been able to establish that the Insured has suffered a loss**...as detailed under the Notifiable Disease Extension which provides cover for losses caused by:

the presence of any Notifiable Disease <u>at your premises;</u>

• the presence of any organism at your premises which might result in a Notifiable Disease; or

• the presence of any Notifiable Disease within a 25 mile radius of your premises.

COVID-19 was **added** to the UK Government's list of Notifiable Diseases on **5th March 2020** such that cover would be available from that date in the event that COVID-19 is present at the Insured's premises or within a 25 mile radius.









#### Area 1 – The Policy wording

- Action Groups: the policies respond to this situation. ABI: "Such add-on policies are not designed to cover a global, viral pandemic."
- Unfavourable headlines from insurers' perspective such as "*Insurers* warned to stop 'callous' denial of claims" The Times 9 May 2020.

#### Area 2 - The extent to which insurers should/can be flexible

- Action groups are calling for insurers to: "consider payments on claims they might usually reject"
- ABI have made points such as insurers have obligations not to take steps that may endanger their solvency and that paying a significant volume of claims for which no premium was charged could endanger solvency and mean valid claims are not paid.

#### Area 3 – How policies were sold

- Action groups say they purchased policies in the expectation there
  would be cover for this situation.
- ABI the polices were not priced to cover a global viral pandemic.
- Wimbledon has been paying about £1.5 million in premium per year since the SARs outbreak in 2003.



### What will it decide?

- The result will be legally binding on the insurers in respect of the interpretation of the representative sample of policy wordings
- It will also provide persuasive guidance for the interpretation of <u>similar</u> policy wordings and claims
- It is not intended to encompass all possible disputes, but to resolve some key contractual uncertainties and 'causation' issues to provide <u>clarity</u> for policyholders and insurers
- It will not determine how much is payable but will provide the basis for doing so



























### Cont...

"The distribution channel is irrelevant. These are all insurer standard form wordings. They were, typically and as the Defendants all must have known, sold not to a sophisticated policyholder, but rather...an SME which is the business equivalent of a consumer, i.e. a business with little experience of the insurance market, potentially limited broker advice and discussion (such as in the case of online sales, which essentially involved the completion of online proformas), and no knowledge of previous insurance case law."





















#### **Broker's duties** · Assessing the insured's needs · Liabilities associated with Misrepresentation Not obtaining insurance Not advising adequately on • Not obtaining the insurance the the existence of and terms insured wanted of cover Not obtaining insurance • Other failure to give meeting the insured's needs competent advice Not exercising discretion in a • Liabilities during the currency reasonable way of the policy · Failing to act with reasonable · Failure in respect of speed notification and in respect of · Liabilities associated with Nonclaims Disclosure Based on Jackson & Powell Professional Liability Chapter 10. D D

	Demands and needs
ICOBS 5.2.2	(1) Prior to the conclusion of a <i>contract of insurance</i> a <i>firm</i> must specify, on the basis of information obtained from the <i>customer</i> , the demands and the needs of that <i>customer</i> .
01/10/2018 🕸	(2) The details must be modulated according to the complexity of the <i>contract of insurance</i> proposed and the type of <i>customer</i> .
	(3) A statement of the demands and needs must be communicated to the <i>customer</i> prior to the conclusion of a <i>contract of insurance</i> .
	[Note: articles 20(1) and 20(2) of the <i>IDD</i> ]
ICOBS 5.2.2A G 01/10/2018	A <i>firm</i> may obtain information from the <i>customer</i> in a number of ways including, for example, by asking the <i>customer</i> questions in person or by way of a questionnaire prior to any <i>contract of insurance</i> being proposed.
ICOBS 5.2.2B R 01/10/2018	When proposing a <i>contract of insurance</i> a <i>firm</i> must ensure it is consistent with the <i>customer's</i> insurance demands and needs. [Note: recital 44 to, and article 20(1) of, the <i>IDD</i> ]
ICOBS 5.2.2C	ICOBS 5.2.2BR applies whether or not advice is given and in the same way regardless of whether that contract is sold on its own, in connection with another contract of insurance, or
01/10/2018	in connection with other goods or services.







#### **Concerns for brokers**

- Mis-selling firms may suggest that they were sold the wrong policy (did you assess fully the client's requirements with pandemics being hypothetical and of very low probability)
- **Poor advice** there may be allegations that they were advised that the standard level of cover was adequate (on what basis was the policy recommended as suitable?)
- How much did the insured wish to spend if beyond standard BI was suggested (only 10% of insureds take up terrorism and/or cyber cover)
- Unclear or misleading policy wordings who gets the blame for that then?



### Cont...

 RSA4, "Marsh Material Damage and BI -Resilience" and "Jelf Material Damage and BI –Resilience" were wordings drafted by the brokers Jelf/Marsh who (acting as agents for the policyholders by which they were retained) placed the relevant risks with a number of different insurers including AIG, Aviva, QBE, RSA and Zurich. RSA4was used for both SMEs and larger businesses

B







# **Risk register**

- Update your risk register
- Have clients' solicitors indicated action following claims being rejected?
- PI insurance will be harder to get covering COVID-19 or will be much more expensive
- If you have an exposure how much is your excess and consider this part of TC2.4
- Re-assess your advice process and probably enhance it based on current experience (i.e. state pandemics will not be covered)

B

Image: Consultation papers,       Consultation papers,       Piceussion papers, Policy       The contract of professional indemnity insurance must incorporate terms which make provision for:         Discussion papers, Policy       01/10/2018       (1) cover in respect of claims for which a firm may be liable as a result of the conduct of itself, its employees and its appointed representatives (acting within the scope of their appointment);         Image: Derivations & destinations       (2) the minimum limits of indemnity per year set out in this section;         (3) an excess as set out in this section;       (4) appropriate cover in respect of claims arising from work carried out from the date on which the firm was given Part 4A permission for the insurance distribution activity or home finance mediation activity concerned; and         (6) cover in respect of Ombudsman awards made against the firm.	More Resources:		Terms to be incorporated in the insurance	2
	Discussion papers, Policy statements	R 01/10/2018	<ul> <li>provision for:</li> <li>(1) cover in respect of claims for which a <i>firm</i> may be liable as a result of the conduct of itself, its <i>employees</i> and its <i>appointed representatives</i> (acting within the scope of their appointment);</li> <li>(2) the minimum <i>limits of indemnity</i> per year set out in this section;</li> <li>(3) an excess as set out in this section;</li> <li>(4) appropriate cover in respect of legal defence costs;</li> <li>(5) continuous cover in respect of claims arising from work carried out from the date on which the <i>firm</i> was given <i>Part 4A permission</i> for the <i>insurance distribution activity</i> or <i>home finance mediation activity</i> concerned; and</li> </ul>	*

# **Final thoughts**

- The court will need to interpret whether what has happened falls to be covered by the policy <u>as written</u> (regardless of any unknown original intentions which they have failed to adequately articulate in their wording)
- Were premises closed because of an outbreak or to prevent one?
- If specified diseases that could become epidemic or pandemic in proportion then surely COVID-19 would be in the same boat or would that be splitting hairs?
- How much evidence is needed to establish that COVID-19 was present in the given insured area?





- Have you misunderstood the client's requirements (risk was unknown and remote) and how much cover did they actually want?
- Have you failed to provide adequate advice re the appropriateness of the wording which you then advised as being suitable to the client?
- Or are the insurers wrong in their stance?
- Please check now the robustness of your advice process and the awareness and competence of your staff over these critical issues

B





